BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 721

[EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018-0159; FRL-]

RIN 2070-AK45

Asbestos; Significant New Use Rule

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: Under the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA), EPA is promulgating a significant new use rule (SNUR) for asbestos as defined under the Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act. The significant new use of asbestos (including as part of an article) is manufacturing (including importing) or processing for uses that are neither ongoing nor already prohibited under TSCA. The Agency has found no information indicating that the following uses are ongoing, and therefore, the following uses are subject to this SNUR: adhesives, sealants, and roof and non-roof coatings; arc chutes; beater-add gaskets; cement products; extruded sealant tape and other tape; filler for acetylene cylinders; friction materials (with certain exceptions); high-grade electrical paper; millboard; missile liner; packings; pipeline wrap; reinforced plastics; roofing felt;

separators in fuel cells and hatteries; vinyl-ashestos floor tile; woven products (other than

**Commented [BS1]:** Is this asbestos defined under this Act? This is not clear.

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

# Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

raspestos (micrating as pair of an article) for a significant new use. The required

notification initiates EPA's evaluation of the conditions of use associated with the intended use. Manufacturing (including importing) and processing (including as part of an article) for the significant new use may not commence until EPA has conducted a review of the notice, made an appropriate determination on the notice, and taken such actions as are required in association with that determination.

**DATES:** This final rule is effective 60 days after publication of this Federal Register Notice.

## ADDRESSES:

The docket for this action, identified by docket identification (ID) number EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018-0159, is available at <a href="http://www.regulations.gov">http://www.regulations.gov</a> or at the Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics Docket (OPPT Docket), Environmental Protection Agency Docket Center (EPA/DC), West William Jefferson Clinton Bldg., Rm. 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW., Washington, DC. The Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Public Reading Room is (202) 566-1744, and the telephone number for the OPPT Docket is (202) 566-0280. Please review the visitor instructions and additional information about the docket available at <a href="http://www.epa.gov/dockets">http://www.epa.gov/dockets</a>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For technical information contact: Robert Courtnage, National Program Chemicals Division (Mail Code 7404T), Office of

Pollution Prevention and Toxics, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460-0001; telephone number: (202) 566-1081; email address: <a href="mailto:courtnage.robert@epa.gov">courtnage.robert@epa.gov</a>.

For general information contact: The TSCA-Hotline, ABVI-Goodwill, 422 South Clinton Ave., Rochester, NY 14620; telephone number: (202) 554-1404; email address: TSCA-Hotline@epa.gov.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## I. Executive Summary

A. Does this Action Apply to Me?

You may be potentially affected by this action if you manufacture (including import), process, or distribute in commerce asbestos as defined by TSCA Title II, Section 202 (15 U.S.C. 2642) (including as part of an article). The following list of North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) codes is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide to help readers determine whether this document applies to them. Potentially affected entities may include:

- Construction (NAICS code 23)
- Manufacturing (NAICS codes 31 33)
- Wholesale Trade (NAICS code 42)
- Transportation (NAICS code 48)

This action may also affect certain entities through pre-existing import certification and export notification rules under TSCA (15 U.S.C.2601 et seq.). Persons who import or process any chemical substance governed by a final SNUR are subject to

the TSCA section 13 (15 U.S.C. 2612) import certification requirements and the corresponding regulations at 19 CFR 12.118 through 12.127 (see also 19 CFR 127.28). Those persons must certify that the shipment of the chemical substance complies with all applicable rules and orders under TSCA, including any SNUR requirements. The EPA policy in support of import certification appears at 40 CFR part 707, subpart B.

In addition, asbestos, as defined in this rule, is already subject to TSCA section 6(a) (40 CFR part 763, subparts G and I) rules that trigger the export notification provisions of TSCA section 12(b) (15 U.S.C. 2611(b); see also 40 CFR 721.20). Any person who exports or intends to export asbestos must comply with the export notification requirements in 40 CFR part 707, subpart D; however, although EPA makes inapplicable the exemption at 40 CFR 721.45(f) for persons who import or process any asbestos as part of an article in a category listed in Table 2, the Agency is not requiring export notification for articles containing asbestos, as further explained in Unit III.B. of this notice.

If you have any questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the technical information contact listed under **FOR FURTHER**INFORMATION CONTACT.

B. What Is the Agency's Authority for Taking this Action?

Section 5(a)(2) of TSCA (15 U.S.C. 2604(a)(2)) authorizes EPA to determine that a use of a chemical substance is a "significant new use." EPA must make this determination by rule after considering all relevant factors, including those listed in TSCA section 5(a)(2) (see Unit IV). Once EPA determines that a use of a chemical substance is a significant new use, TSCA section 5(a)(1) requires persons to submit a

significant new use notice (SNUN) to EPA at least 90 days before they manufacture (including import) or process the chemical substance for that use (15 U.S.C. 2604(a)(1)(B)(i)). TSCA further prohibits such manufacturing (including importing) or processing from commencing until EPA has conducted a review of the notice, made an appropriate determination on the notice, and taken such actions as are required in association with that determination (15 U.S.C. 2604(a)(1)(B)(ii)). As described in Unit V., the general SNUR provisions are found at 40 CFR part 721, subpart A. *C. What Action Is the Agency Taking?* 

EPA is promulgating a final SNUR for asbestos, using the definition in TSCA

Title II, Section 202, which defines asbestos as the "asbestiform varieties of six fiber
types – chrysotile (serpentine), crocidolite (riebeckite), amosite (cummingtonitegrunerite), anthophyllite, tremolite or actinolite." The significant new use of asbestos
(including as part of an article) is manufacturing (including importing) or processing for
uses that are neither ongoing nor already prohibited under TSCA. The Agency found no
information indicating that the following uses are ongoing, and therefore, the following
uses are subject to this SNUR: adhesives, sealants, and roof and non-roof coatings; arc
chutes; beater-add gaskets; cement products; extruded sealant tape and other tape; filler
for acetylene cylinders; friction materials (with certain exceptions); high-grade electrical
paper; millboard; missile liner; packings; pipeline wrap; reinforced plastics; roofing felt;
separators in fuel cells and batteries; vinyl-asbestos floor tile; woven products (other than
brake blocks used in oilfield drawworks); any other building material; and any other use
of asbestos that is neither ongoing nor already prohibited under TSCA.

The Frank R. Lautenberg Chemical Safety for the 21st Century Act (Pub. L. 114-

182, 130 Stat. 448) amended TSCA in June 2016. The new law includes statutory requirements related to the risk evaluations of conditions of use for of existing chemicals under their conditions of use. Based on the 2014 update of EPA's TSCA Work Plan for Chemical Assessments, in a December of 2016, EPA designated asbestos as one of the first 10 chemical substances subject to the Agency's initial chemical risk evaluation rules (81 FR 91927), pursuant to TSCA section 6(b)(2)(A) (15 U.S.C. 2605(b)(2)(A)).

EPA is separately conducting a risk evaluation of asbestos under its conditions of use, pursuant to TSCA section 6(b)(4)(A). Through scoping and subsequent research for the asbestos risk evaluation, EPA identified several conditions of use of asbestos to

# Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

including sheet gaskets for use in titanium dioxide chemical production, brake blocks for

use in oil drilling, aftermarket automotive brakes/linings and other vehicle friction

# Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

blocks used in offield drawworks), and packings have been removed from the scope of the risk evaluation since publication of the problem formulation document because no information was found to confirm they are ongoing conditions of use. Because additional EPA research indicates that cement products, woven products (other than brake blocks used in oilfield drawworks), and packings are not ongoing uses, this significant new use

rule includes them as significant new uses. This final SNUR does not identify as significant new uses those uses that EPA believes are currently ongoing in the United States.

EPA requested public comment on the proposed SNUR for information regarding any ongoing uses not identified by the Agency as well as additional uses not identified as no longer ongoing. The Agency did not receive any comments providing additional information regarding the ongoing uses or discontinued uses of asbestos. EPA did receive many comments (too numerous to cite individually) stating the Agency should not allow otherwise prohibited asbestos uses to return to the marketplace. However, this SNUR regulates uses of asbestos that are no longer ongoing (i.e., discontinued uses) in the United States but are not prohibited from restarting under TSCA (i.e., not subject to the partial asbestos ban). In the absence of this SNUR, manufacturing, importing, or processing of asbestos (including as part of an article) for the significant new uses identified in Table 2 may begin at any time and without prior notice to and oversight by EPA.

As explained in greater detail in the response to comments document (citation), a significant new use rule can be promulgated to regulate new chemicals or existing chemicals. For existing chemicals, such as asbestos, a SNUR can be used to ensure that no company will be able to manufacture, import, or process the chemical for uses the Agency identifies as significant new uses without prior notification to EPA and not before EPA has conducted a review of the notice, made an appropriate determination on the notice based on information available to EPA about the risk to because health and the environment, and taken such regulatory actions as are required in association with that

determination. Thus, this final SNUR requires persons that whice intend to manufacture (including import) or process any form of asbestos as defined under Title II of TSCA (including as part of an article) for a significant new use, consistent with the requirements at 40 CFR 721.25, to notify EPA at least 90 days before commencing such manufacturing (including importing) or processing. Furthermore, this rule precludes the commencement of such manufacturing (including importing) or processing until EPA has conducted a review of the notice, made an appropriate determination on the notice, and taken such actions as are required in association with that determination.

## D. Why Is the Agency Taking this Action?

This final SNUR is necessary to ensure that EPA receives timely advance notice of any future manufacturing (including importing) or processing of asbestos (including as part of an article) for significant new uses that may produce changes in human and environmental exposures, and to ensure that an appropriate determination (relevant to the risks associated with such manufacturing (including importing), processing, and use) has been issued prior to the commencement of such manufacturing (including importing) or processing. Today's action is furthermore necessary to ensure that manufacturing (including importing) or processing for the significant new use cannot proceed until EPA has responded to the circumstances by taking the required actions under Sections 5(e) or 5(f) of TSCA in the event that EPA determines any of the following: (1) that the significant new use presents an unreasonable risk to limitate health or the environment under the conditions of use (without consideration of costs or other non-risk factors, and including an unreasonable risk to a potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulation identified as relevant by EPA); (2) that the information available to EPA is insufficient to

permit a reasoned evaluation of the health and environmental effects of the significant new use; (3) that, in the absence of sufficient information, the manufacturing (including importing), processing, distribution in commerce, use, or disposal of the substance, or any combination of such activities, may present an unreasonable risk (without consideration of costs or other non-risk factors, and including an unreasonable risk to-apotentially exposed or susceptible subpopulations identified as relevant by EPA); or (4) that there is substantial production and sufficient potential for environmental release or human exposure (as defined in TSCA section 5(a)(3)(B)(ii)(II)).

There is a strong causal association between asbestos exposure and lung cancer and mesotheliomas (tumors arising from the thin membranes that line the chest (thoracic) and abdominal cavities and surround internal organs) (Ref. 1; Ref. 2; Ref. 3; Ref. 4; Ref. 5; Ref. 6). In addition, other cancers, as well as non-cancer effects, such as respiratory and immune effects, have been associated with asbestos exposure (Ref. 7).

Agency research conducted in support of the TSCA risk evaluation of asbestos revealed that the use of asbestos has declined dramatically in the United States since the 1970s when asbestos use was at its peak. EPA is taking action in this final rule to ensure that EPA receives timely advance notice and makes an appropriate determination prior to the commencement of manufacturing (including importing) or processing for any significant new use of asbestos (including as part of an article) as identified in Table 2. The rationale and objectives for this final SNUR are explained in detail in Unit III of the proposed rule (citation).

E. What are the Estimated Incremental Impacts of this Action?

EPA has evaluated the potential costs of establishing SNUR reporting

requirements for potential manufacturers (including importers) and processors of asbestos, as defined in this rule. This Economic Analysis (Ref. 8), which is available in the docket, is discussed in Unit IX. and is briefly summarized here.

In the event that a SNUN is submitted, costs are estimated to be approximately \$23,000 per SNUN submission for large business submitters and about \$10,000 for small business submitters. Asbestos is already subject to TSCA section 6(a) rules (40 CFR part 763, subparts G and I) that trigger the export notification provisions of TSCA section 12(b) (15 U.S.C. 2611(b); see also 40 CFR 721.20), and the Agency is not requiring export notifications for articles containing asbestos. Articles are generally excluded from the TSCA section 12(b) export notification requirements and the Agency is not lifting the article exemption for 12(b) export notification for asbestos articles for the reasons discussed in Unit III.B. Therefore, EPA assumes no additional costs under TSCA section 12(b) for this rule.

The rule may also affect firms that plan to import or process articles that may be subject to the SNUR. Although there are no specific requirements in the rule for these firms, they may choose to undertake some activity to assure themselves that they are not undertaking a significant new use. In the accompanying Economic Analysis for this final SNUR (Ref. 8), example steps (and their respective costs) that an importer or processor might take to identify asbestos in articles are provided. These steps can include gathering information through agreements with suppliers, declarations through databases or surveys, or use of a third-party certification system. Additionally, importers may require suppliers to provide certificates of testing analysis of the products or perform their own laboratory testing of certain articles. EPA is unable to predict, however, what, if any,

particular steps an importer might take; thus, potential total costs were not estimated.

## II. Chemical Substance Subject to this Final Rule

A. What Chemicals Are Included in the Final SNUR?

This SNUR applies to asbestos, using the definition in TSCA Title II (added to TSCA in 1986), Section 202, which defines asbestos as the "asbestiform varieties of six fiber types – chrysotile (serpentine), crocidolite (riebeckite), amosite (cummingtonite-grunerite), anthophyllite, tremolite or actinolite." This SNUR applies to the manufacturing (including importing) or processing of asbestos (including as part of an article) for uses that are neither ongoing nor already prohibited under TSCA. EPA found no information indicating that the following uses are ongoing, and therefore, the following uses are subject to this final SNUR: adhesives, sealants, and roof and non-roof coatings; are chutes; beater-add gaskets; cement products; extruded sealant tape and other tape; filler for acetylene cylinders; friction materials (with certain exceptions); high-grade electrical paper; millboard; missile liner; packings; pipeline wrap; reinforced plastics; roofing felt; separators in fuel cells and batteries; vinyl-asbestos floor tile; woven products (other than brake blocks used in oilfield drawworks); any other building material; and any other use of asbestos that is neither ongoing nor already prohibited under TSCA.

Under this final SNUR, the exemption at 40 CFR 721.45(f) would not apply to persons who import or process asbestos as part of an article (which includes as a component of an article) because there is reasonable potential for exposure to asbestos if the substance is incorporated into articles and then imported or processed. However, in accordance with the impurity exclusion at 40 CFR 721.45(d), this significant new use

rule does not apply to persons who manufacture (including import) or process asbestos (including as part of an article) only as an impurity.

## B. What Are the Production Volumes and Uses of Asbestos?

Asbestos has not been mined or otherwise produced in the United States since 2002; therefore, any new raw bulk asbestos used in the United States is imported. According to the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), approximately 750 metric tons of raw bulk asbestos was imported into the United States in 2018 (Ref. 9). Chrysotile is the only form of raw bulk asbestos currently imported, and the chlor-alkali industry is the only known importer (Ref. 9). EPA did not identify any domestic entity that uses raw bulk asbestos other than the chlor-alkali industry, which uses chrysotile asbestos to fabricate diaphragms for use in chlorine and sodium hydroxide production.

In an effort to identify national import volumes and conditions of use for the asbestos risk evaluation under TSCA section 6(b)(4)(A), EPA searched a number of available data sources including EPA's Chemical Data Reporting (CDR) database, USGS's Mineral Commodities Summary and the Minerals Yearbook, the U.S.

International Trade Commission's Dataweb, the U.S. Customs and Border Protection's Automated Commercial Environment (ACE) system, and the *Use and Market Profile for Asbestos* (EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-0736-0085). Based on this search, EPA published a preliminary list of information and sources related to asbestos conditions of use (see *Preliminary Information on Manufacturing, Processing, Distribution, Use, and Disposal: Asbestos*, EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-0736-0005) prior to a February 2017 public meeting on the scoping efforts for the risk evaluation convened to solicit public comment. EPA also convened meetings with companies, associated industry groups, chemical users and other

stakeholders to aid in identifying conditions of use and verifying conditions of use identified by EPA.

During the public comment period for the *Preliminary Information on Manufacturing, Processing, Distribution, Use, and Disposal: Asbestos* (EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-0736-0005), one company identified the use of asbestos-containing gaskets, which are imported, for use during the production of titanium dioxide. During stakeholder discussions another company confirmed importing and distributing brake blocks for use in drawworks by the oil industry. EPA believes that aftermarket automotive brakes/linings and other vehicle friction products, and other gaskets containing asbestos are also imported, as reported by USGS (Ref. 10) and also appear in data from ACE (Ref. 11); however, the volume of products and the quantity of asbestos within imported products is unknown. ACE, maintained by Customs and Border Protection, is not a publicly accessible database.

On June 22, 2017, EPA published the Scope of the Risk Evaluation for Asbestos (EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-0736-0086), which was further refined by the May 2018, Problem Formulation of the Risk Evaluation for Asbestos (EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-0736-0131) issued in conjunction with the June 11, 2018 proposed Asbestos SNUR (EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018-0159). Each of these three actions provided 60-day comment periods and opportunity for the public and private sector to identify conditions of use of asbestos in the United States. The Agency did not receive additional information from the public comments during the comment period regarding ongoing or discontinued uses of asbestos.

C. What Are the Potential Health Effects of Asbestos?

Asbestos was listed as a known human carcinogen in the National Toxicology Program's *First Annual Report on Carcinogens* in 1980 (Ref. 1). In 1988, EPA assessed the health hazards and effects caused by exposure to asbestos under the Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) program and determined that asbestos exposure can lead to lung cancer and mesotheliomas (tumors arising from the thin membranes that line internal organs) (Ref. 2). Many authorities have established that there is causal association between asbestos and lung cancer and mesotheliomas (Ref. 1; Ref. 3; Ref. 4). EPA also noted in the *Scope of the Risk Evaluation for Asbestos* that there is a causal association between exposure to asbestos and cancer of the larynx and cancer of the ovary (Ref. 4). There is also suggestive evidence of a positive association between asbestos and cancer of the pharynx (Ref. 4; Ref. 12), stomach (Ref. 3; Ref. 4), and colorectum (Ref. 1; Ref. 3; Ref. 4; Ref. 12; Ref. 13; Ref. 14). All types of asbestos fibers have been reported to cause mesothelioma. (Ref. 4).

Increases in lung cancer mortality have been reported in both workers and residents exposed to various asbestos fiber types as well as fiber mixtures (Ref. 4). There is evidence in in-vitro, animal, and human studies that asbestos is genotoxic, meaning asbestos can damage an organism's genetic material (Ref. 3). There is also evidence that asbestos exposure is associated with adverse respiratory system effects, such as asbestosis and immunotoxicity (Ref. 3; Ref. 7).

D. What Are the Potential Routes and Sources of Exposure to Asbestos

The greatest risk of exposure to asbestos occurs when the substance is in a friable state, meaning the fibers can be crumbled, pulverized, or reduced to a powder under hand pressure (Ref. 3). During use and over time, non-friable asbestos has the potential to

Commented [BS6]: Define?

become friable (Ref. 3). For example, testing has shown that non-friable asbestoscontaining material can become friable during use such as cutting, crumbling, and

## Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

from industrial releases and use of asbestos-containing products. Based on EPA's research conducted during the early stages of the TSCA risk evaluation, most of the ongoing uses of asbestos pertain to industrial and commercial uses (Ref. 7).

The primary exposure route for asbestos is inhalation. Asbestos fibers can be released into the air during processing of raw bulk asbestos and asbestos-containing products. Weathering and the disturbance and/or degradation of asbestos-containing products can also cause asbestos fibers to be suspended in air (Ref. 3). Fibers can then enter the lungs through inhalation. Exposures to asbestos can potentially occur via oral and dermal routes; however, EPA anticipates that the most likely exposure route is inhalation.

#### III. Rationale and Objectives

## A. Rationale

As discussed in Unit II and Unit III of the proposed rule (83 FR 26922; June 11, 2018), EPA is concerned about the potential for adverse health effects of asbestos based on established sound scientific data indicating that asbestos is a known human carcinogen. Asbestos was listed as a human carcinogen in the National Toxicology Program's First Annual Report on Carcinogens in 1980 (Ref. 1).

**Commented [BS8]:** This si the first time this citation is used. Probably should be cited earlier

Asbestos, in particular chrysotile asbestos, has several useful properties, including low electrical conductivity while maintaining high tensile strength, high friction coefficient, and high resistance to heat (Ref. 17). These properties made asbestos ideal for use in friction materials (e.g. brakes), insulation (e.g. sound, heat, and electrical), and building materials (e.g. cement pipes, roofing compounds, flooring) over the past century. However, the use of asbestos has declined dramatically due to health concerns and consumer preference (Ref. 17), which has led to the elimination of some exposure scenarios associated with such uses. According to USGS, in 1973, national annual

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

-m-1767;1217-rpuonsneu a miarrune 450estos: manajaciure; importaaon;

aansummian, inaludina.manufraturina/mintuanatina.and.maassama.af.mu.ludkash

Processing, and Distribution in Commerce Prohibitions (54 FR 29460, July 12, 1989) (FRL-3476-2), which was intended "to prohibit, at staged intervals, the future manufacture, importation, processing and distribution in commerce of asbestos in almost all products, as identified in the rule . . ." and to "reduce the unreasonable risks presented to human health by exposure to asbestos during activities involving these products." The 1989 final rule applied to the asbestos product categories identified in the Regulatory Impact Analysis of Controls on Asbestos and Asbestos Products, which was conducted in support of the rule (Ref. 20). However, the ban against most of the asbestos product categories was partially vacated and remanded to EPA by the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals in 1991. In addition to the asbestos products that remain banned after the court

# Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

August 25, 1989. As a point of clarification, in this final rulemaking, a significant new use of asbestos addresses multiple uses that were initiated on or before August 25, 1989, for which manufacturing (including importing) and processing are no longer ongoing in the United States.

Table 1: Asbestos Containing Product Categories Banned Under TSCA Section 6

<b>Product Category</b>	<b>Definition (40 CFR 763.163)</b>
Corrugated Paper	Corrugated paper means an asbestos-containing product made of
	corrugated paper, which is often cemented to a flat backing, may
	be laminated with foils or other materials, and has a corrugated
	surface. Major applications of asbestos corrugated paper
	include: thermal insulation for pipe coverings; block insulation;
	panel insulation in elevators; insulation in appliances; and
	insulation in low-pressure steam, hot water, and process lines.
Rollboard	Rollboard means an asbestos-containing product made of paper
	that is produced in a continuous sheet, is flexible, and is rolled to
	achieve a desired thickness. Asbestos rollboard consists of two
	sheets of asbestos paper laminated together. Major applications
	of this product include: office partitioning; garage paneling;
	linings for stoves and electric switch boxes; and fire-proofing
	agent for security boxes, safes, and files.

[PAGE ]

Commercial Paper	Commercial paper means an asbestos-containing product that is	
	made of paper intended for use as general insulation paper or	
	muffler paper. Major applications of commercial papers are	
	insulation against fire, heat transfer, and corrosion in	
	circumstances that require a thin, but durable, barrier.	
Specialty Paper	Specialty paper means an asbestos-containing product that is	
	made of paper intended for use as filters for beverages or other	
	fluids or as paper fill for cooling towers. Cooling tower fill	
	consists of asbestos paper that is used as a cooling agent for	
	liquids from industrial processes and air conditioning systems.	
Flooring Felt	Flooring felt means an asbestos-containing product that is made	
	of paper felt intended for use as an underlayer for floor	
	coverings, or to be bonded to the underside of vinyl sheet	
	flooring.	
New Uses*	The commercial uses of asbestos not identified in §763.165 the	
	manufacture, importation or processing of which would be	
	initiated for the first time after August 25, 1989.	
*Note: a "new use" as defined in 40 CFR 763.163 is distinct from a significant new		
use per TSCA section 5(a)(2), which is explained for the purposes of this final rule in		
Table 2.		

After the court's ruling in Corrosion Proof Fittings v. EPA, 947 F.2d 1201 (5th

Cir. 1991), only the specific asbestos products identified in Table 1 and new uses of asbestos initiated for the first time after August 25, 1989, remained banned under TSCA. This SNUR keeps these prohibitions in place and would not amend them in any way. In other words, this SNUR will-does not provide a means by which these prohibited uses under the partial 1989 ban could return to the marketplace.

As a point of clarification, in this SNUR, a significant new use of asbestos includes all uses that were initiated on or before August 25, 1989 (and were not covered by the partial 1989 ban) for which manufacturing (including importing) and processing are no longer ongoing in the United States. In other words, Tihis SNUR is designed to complement the existing prohibitions on asbestos, not alter or displace those prohibitions.

As part of the current asbestos risk evaluation process, the Agency identified conditions of use to be considered under the TSCA risk evaluation. In the proposed

## Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

products, and woven products. However, after further research and investigation, the

Agency could find no evidence that asbestos-containing cement products, woven

products (other than brake blocks used in oilfield drawworks), and packings are ongoing

conditions of use of asbestos in the United States. Therefore, these product categories are no longer being considered under the TSCA section 6 risk evaluation for asbestos and,

# Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

asbestos as well as uses that are no longer ongoing. EPA received several comments suggesting that the SNUR be revised to include all uses of asbestos that are no longer ongoing, and some commenters suggested targeting all uses of asbestos except ongoing uses currently under consideration for the asbestos TSCA section 6 risk evaluation (EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018-0159-1269; EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018-0159-1271; EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018-0159-5755; EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018-0159-5886). Considering that asbestos has been used in thousands of applications, EPA recognizes the public's comments on the significant new use rule as originally proposed and whether it covered all uses of asbestos that are no longer ongoing in the United States. The Agency's intent in this final SNUR is to cover all uses of asbestos that are neither ongoing in the United States nor already banned under TSCA. In response to public comment since proposal, the Agency is revising the regulatory text to add another broad use category to ensure all other uses of asbestos that are no longer ongoing and not already prohibited under TSCA are captured in this rulemaking. EPA is explicitly excluding from this rulemaking uses of asbestos that are

already prohibited under TSCA through the 1989 ban and phase-out rule or are currently ongoing. Ongoing uses identified by EPA as conditions of use under consideration for the TSCA section 6 risk evaluation (i.e. imported chrysotile for the fabrication of asbestos diaphragms and the following imported chrysotile products: sheet gaskets, oilfield brake blocks, aftermarket automotive brakes/linings, other vehicle friction products, and other gaskets) are not significant new uses of asbestos and therefore would not require a significant new use notice submission to the Agency.

As explained in the proposed rule, as part of the information gathering activity associated with the current asbestos TSCA section 6 risk evaluation, the Agency researched market availability for the asbestos product categories subject to the 1989 asbestos ban and phase-out rule that was later partially vacated and remanded to EPA. In addition to the asbestos product categories that EPA identified in the proposed SNUR where manufacturing (including importing) and processing for the use is no longer ongoing, the Agency has determined that the product category "friction materials" as defined in Table 2 (but excluding the ongoing uses of brake blocks for use in oilfield drawworks (i.e., oil drilling)) is also a significant new use of asbestos. While this product category was in the 1989 Regulatory Impacts Assessment (Ref. 20), it was not included in the proposed SNUR because the broad category definition could be viewed as contradictory to uses not subject to the rule - brake blocks in particular. However, in response to public comments, the Agency is including friction materials (except for the specifically identified ongoing uses) within the significant new use for asbestos, in order to encompass all uses that the Agency has determined to be neither ongoing in the United States nor already prohibited under TSCA. With the addition of the exception for certain

friction products that are ongoing uses (i.e., brake blocks used in oilfield drawworks), the Agency believes it is appropriate to include the product category of "friction materials" in the scope of this SNUR and doing so will not create confusion or potentially overlapping definitions.

Table 2 below presents the significant new uses of asbestos (including as part of an article) subject to this rule. Most of the product category descriptions are based on the product category descriptions presented in the *Regulatory Impact Analysis of Controls on Asbestos and Asbestos Products* for the 1989 final rule (Ref. 20) and may not be all-encompassing.

Table 2: Product Categories of Significant New Uses of Asbestos

<b>Product Category</b>	Description of the Product Category
Adhesives, Sealants,	The automobile industry historically used asbestos in a wide variety of
Adhesives, Sealants,	The automobile industry instorically used aspestos in a wide variety of
and Roof and Non-	adhesive, sealant, and coating applications. The aerospace industry
Roof Coatings	used asbestos in extremely specialized applications such as firewall
	sealants and epoxy adhesives. Non-roof coatings were used to prevent
	corrosion (e.g. as vehicle undercoatings and underground pipe
	coatings). Roof coatings were used to repair and patch roofs, seal
	around projections such as chimneys and vent pipes, and bond
	horizontal and vertical surfaces.
Arc Chutes	Ceramic arc chutes containing asbestos were used to guide electric
	arcs in motor starter units in electric generating plants.

Beater-Add Gaskets	Asbestos fibers were incorporated within various elastomeric binders
	and other fillers to form the beater-add paper. These products were
	used extensively for internal combustion applications and for the
	sealing component of spiral wound gaskets. Gaskets were used to seal
	one compartment of a device from another in non-dynamic
	applications such as engine and exhaust manifolds.
Cement Products*	Includes asbestos cement product categories in the 1989 Regulatory
	Impact Assessment: Asbestos-Cement Pipe and Fittings, Asbestos-
	Cement Flat Sheet, Corrugated Asbestos-Cement Sheet, and Asbestos-
	Cement Shingles.
Extruded Sealant	Sealant tape was made from a semi-liquid mixture of butyl rubber and
Tape and Other Tape	asbestos. On exposure to air, the sealant solidified forming a rubber
	tape about an inch wide and an eighth of an inch thick. The tape acted
	as a gasket for sealing building windows, automotive windshields, and
	mobile home windows. It was also used in the manufacture of parts for
	the aerospace industry and in the manufacture of insulated glass.
Filler for Acetylene	Asbestos was used to produce a sponge-like filler, which held the
Cylinders	liquefied acetylene gas (acetone) in suspension in the steel cylinder
	and puled the acetone up through the tank as the gas was released
	through the oxyacetylene torch. The torch was used to weld or cut
	metal and sometimes used as an illuminant gas. The filler also acted as
	an insulator that offered fire protection in case the oxidation of the

	acetylene became uncontrollable.	
Friction Materials	Friction materials were used as braking and gear-changing (clutch)	
(except those	components in a variety of industrial and commercial machinery.	
identified as ongoing	Applications included agricultural equipment such as combines,	
conditions of use)	mining and oil-well-drilling equipment, construction equipment such	
	as cranes and hoists, heavy equipment used in various manufacturing	
	industries (e. g., machine tools and presses), military equipment,	
	marine engine transmissions, elevators, chain saws, and consumer	
	appliances such as lawn mowers, washing machines, and vacuum	
	cleaners.	
High-Grade	The major use of asbestos electrical paper was insulation for high	
Electrical Paper	temperature, low voltage applications such as in motors, generators,	
	transformers, switch gears, and other heavy electrical apparatuses.	
Millboard	Asbestos millboard was essentially a heavy cardboard product that was	
	used for gasketing, insulation, fireproofing, and resistance against	
	corrosion and rot. Millboard was used in many industrial applications	
	to include linings in boilers, kilns, and foundries; insulation in glass	
	tank crowns, melters, refiners, and sidewalls in the glass industry;	
	linings for troughs and covers in the aluminum, marine, and aircraft	
	industries; and thermal protection in circuit breakers in the electrical	
	industry. In addition, thin millboard was inserted between metal to	
	produce gaskets. Commercial applications for millboard included	

r	
	fireproof linings for safes, dry-cleaning machines, and incinerators.
Missile Liner	A missile liner was an asbestos and rubber compound used to insulate
	the outer casing of the rocket from the intense heat generated in the
	rocket motor while the rocket fuel was burned. Rockets and rocket
	boosters were used to propel a number of objects including military
	weapons and the space shuttle.
Packings	Packings were dynamic or mechanical (static packings are gaskets)
	and used to seal fluids in devices where motion was necessary. The
	design of a packing was to control the amount of leakage of fluid at
	shafts, rods or valve systems and other functional parts or equipment
	requiring containment of liquids or gases. Packings were used in
	rotary, centrifugal, and reciprocating pumps, valves, expansion joints,
	soot blowers, and many other types of mechanical equipment.
Pipeline Wrap	Pipeline wrap was an asbestos felt product primarily used by the oil
	and gas industry for coating its pipelines. Asbestos pipeline wrap was
	also used in the coal tar enamel method of coating pipes, some above-
	ground applications (such as for special piping in cooling towers), and
	was also used by the chemical industry for underground hot water and
	steam piping.
Reinforced Plastics	Asbestos-reinforced plastics were used for electro-mechanical parts in
	the automotive and appliance industries and as high-performance

	plastics for the aerospace industry. Asbestos-reinforced plastic was	
	typically a mixture of some type of plastic resin (usually phenolic or	
	epoxy), a general filler (often chalk or limestone), and raw asbestos	
	fiber.	
D C E 1		
Roofing Felt	Asbestos roofing felt was single or multi-layered grade and used for	
	built-up roofing. Asbestos was used in roofing felts because of its	
	dimensional stability and resistance to rot, fire, and heat.	
Separators in Fuel	In very specialized aerospace applications, asbestos functioned as an	
Cells and Batteries	insulator and separator between the negative and positive terminals of	
	a fuel cell/battery.	
Vinyl-Asbestos Floor	Vinyl-asbestos floor tile was used in commercial, residential, and	
Tile	institutional buildings in heavy traffic areas such as supermarkets,	
	department stores, commercial plants, kitchens, and "pivot points" -	
	entry ways and areas around elevators	
Woven Products*	Includes Protective Clothing and Asbestos Textiles from the 1989	
	RIA.	
Any Other Building	Examples include insulation, plasters, mastics, textured paints (e.g.,	
Materials	simulates stucco), and block filler paints (e.g., for coating masonry).	
Any use of asbestos	Except those uses prohibited under § 763.165 (i.e. Corrugated Paper,	
not otherwise	Rollboard, Commercial Paper Specialty Paper, Flooring Felt and New	
identified	Uses (the manufacture, importation or processing of which would be	

DACE

initiated for the first time after August 25, 1989)) and uses of imported chrysotile (including as part of an article) that are currently ongoing in the United States (i.e. diaphragms; sheet gaskets; oilfield brake blocks; aftermarket automotive brakes/linings; other vehicle friction products; and other gaskets).

\*Note: Not a product category described in the same terms in the Regulatory Impact Analysis; this broader product category is used generally to describe a number of specific product categories identified during the TSCA section 6 risk evaluation process.

Table 3 below represents the conditions of use for asbestos which are undergoing risk evaluation under Section 6 of TSCA. All of the remaining ongoing uses of asbestos are solely for chrysotile asbestos. Ongoing uses identified by EPA as conditions of use under consideration for the TSCA section 6 risk evaluation are not significant new uses of asbestos and therefore are not subject to this rulemaking and would not require a significant new use notice submission to the Agency.

Table 3: Conditions of Use of Asbestos that are not Significant New Uses of Asbestos

Product Category	Example
Asbestos Diaphragms	Chlor-alkali Industry
Sheet Gaskets	Chemical Manufacturing
Oilfield Brake Blocks	Oil Industry
Aftermarket Automotive Brakes/Linings	Passenger Vehicles
Other Vehicle Friction Products	Exported Cars (not sold in US)
Other Gaskets	Exhaust Gaskets (off-road utility vehicle)

In the absence of this rule, the importing or processing of asbestos (including as

mart of an article) for the significant new uses identified in this rule may begin at any.

## Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

article), using the definition under Title II of TSCA, for any use identified in Table 2 is a significant new use.

The Agency received several public comments on the proposed rule requesting that disposal and recycling of asbestos-containing products (EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018-0159-

# Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

The Agency interprets mining to be production under the TSCA definition of

manufacture. Therefore, mining asbestos for a significant new use as identified in this

rule would require a significant new use notice. Mining for the ongoing uses of asbestos, however, would not require a significant new use notice. The Agency may pursue a separate action under TSCA to address asbestos mining for ongoing asbestos uses in the United States.

Consistent with EPA's past practice for issuing SNURs under TSCA section 5(a)(2), EPA's decision to promulgate a SNUR for a particular chemical use need not be based on an extensive evaluation of the hazard, exposure, or potential risk associated with that use. If a person decides to begin manufacturing (including importing) or processing asbestos (including as part of an article) for a use identified in Table 2, the notice to EPA allows the Agency to evaluate the use according to the specific parameters and circumstances surrounding the conditions of use.

B. Rationale for Making Inapplicable the Exemption at 40 CFR 721.45(f) for Persons who Import or Process Asbestos

Chemical substances that are part of an article may still result in exposure if the chemical substance has certain physical-chemical properties – as in the case of asbestos, fibers can degrade with use and become friable over time where human exposures can occur leading to increased risks for disease (Ref. 3; Ref. 15; Ref. 16). During use and over time, non-friable asbestos has the potential to become friable (Ref. 3). For example, testing has shown that non-friable asbestos-containing material can become friable during use such as cutting, crumbling, and tearing, and as a result of such use, asbestos fibers can be released into the air (Ref. 15). Similarly, non-friable asbestos-containing building materials can release fibers if disturbed during building repair or demolition (Ref. 16).

Therefore, EPA is making inapplicable the exemption at 40 CFR 721.45(f) for persons

Commented [BS15]: Same comment as above

who import or process any asbestos as part of an article for the significant new uses of asbestos identified in Table 2. A person who imports or processes asbestos (including as part of an article) for a significant new use would be subject to the significant new use notice requirements in this rule. No person would be able to begin importing or processing asbestos (including as part of an article) for a significant new use without first submitting a SNUN to EPA and not before the Agency has conducted a review of the notice, made an appropriate determination on the notice, and taken such actions as are required in association with that determination.

The Agency received several comments suggesting that exported asbestoscontaining articles be subject to the notification requirement at TSCA section 12(b) (15
U.S.C. 2611(b)). Considering that this rulemaking addresses uses of asbestos (including
as part of an article) that are no longer ongoing, the Agency sees no value in requiring
export notification for the uses subject to this rule because such articles of asbestos are
neither manufactured, imported, nor processed in the United States. Therefore, the
Agency assumes that such articles are not exported. In the event EPA receives a notice
for a significant new use of asbestos, the Agency will consider an export notification
requirement for that significant new use at that time.

As for the ongoing uses of asbestos that are currently undergoing risk evaluation under TSCA section 6, the Agency feels it is appropriate to consider a 12(b) export notification requirement as part of any risk management pursued after completion of the risk evaluation, if an unreasonable risk is determined.

C. Objectives

Based on the considerations in Unit III.A., EPA wants to achieve the following

Commented [BS16]: I think the acronym is used sometimes.

Commented [BS17]: here

objectives with regard to the significant new use of asbestos (including as part of an article) as designated in this rule:

- 1. EPA would receive notice of any person's intent to manufacture (including import) or process asbestos (including as part of an article) for the described significant new use before that activity begins.
- 2. EPA would have an opportunity to review and evaluate data submitted in a SNUN before the notice submitter begins manufacturing (including importing) or processing asbestos (including as part of an article) for the described significant new use.
- 3. EPA would be able to either determine that the significant new use is not likely to present an unreasonable risk, or take necessary regulatory action associated with any other determination before the described significant new use of asbestos (including as part of an article) occurs.

## IV. Significant New Use Determination

Section 5(a)(2) of TSCA states that EPA's determination that a use of a chemical substance is a significant new use must be made after consideration of all relevant factors including:

- The projected volume of manufacturing and processing of a chemical substance.
- The extent to which a use changes the type or form of exposure of human beings or the environment to a chemical substance.
- The extent to which a use increases the magnitude and duration of exposure of human beings or the environment to a chemical substance.
  - 4. The reasonably anticipated manner and methods of manufacturing, processing,

distribution in commerce, and disposal of a chemical substance.

In addition to these factors enumerated in TSCA section 5(a)(2), the statute authorizes EPA to consider any other relevant factors.

Both federal and state environmental protection agencies and occupational safety and health organizations provide existing regulation pertaining to certain aspects of the manufacturing (including importing), processing, use, and/or disposal of asbestos in order to protect consumers, workers, and the environment, EPA believes the significant new.

# Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

opportunity to evaluate such intended new use. Once a SNUR is finalized, failure to notify EPA and file a SNUN prior to manufacturing (including importing) or processing for a significant new use would constitute a violation of TSCA and would be subject to penalties, accordingly.

## Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

The article exemption at 40 CFR 721.45(f) is based on an assumption that people and the environment will generally not be exposed to chemical substances in articles (Ref. 18). However, even when contained in an article, asbestos can become friable over time with use (Ref. 3; Ref. 15; Ref. 16). Based on this understanding, upon receipt of a SNUN, EPA intends to evaluate the potential risk of exposure to human health and the environment for any intended significant new use of asbestos (including as part of an article). This understanding warrants making the exemption at 40 CFR 721.45(f) inapplicable to importers or processors of articles containing asbestos. Considering the potential friability of asbestos, even when incorporated in articles, and the health risks associated with exposure to asbestos, EPA affirmatively finds under TSCA section 5(a)(5) that notification is justified by the reasonable potential for exposure to asbestos through the articles subject to this SNUR. EPA intends to evaluate such potential uses whether in the form of an article, or not, for any associated risks or hazards that might exist before those uses would begin for any associated risks or hazards that might exist.

swould create the notential for exposure to ashestos, and that EPA should have an

Commented [BS20]: I think it would be useful to state what

## Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

General provisions for SNURs appear under 40 CFR part 721, subpart A. These provisions describe persons subject to the rule, recordkeeping requirements, and exemptions to reporting requirements.

Provisions relating to user fees appear at 40 CFR part 700. According to 40 CFR 721.1(c), persons subject to SNURs must comply with the same notice requirements and EPA regulatory procedures as submitters of Premanufacture Notices (PMNs) under TSCA section 5(a)(1)(A). In particular, these requirements include the information submission requirements of TSCA sections 5(b) and 5(d)(1), the exemptions authorized by TSCA sections 5(h)(1), (h)(2), (h)(3), and (h)(5), and the regulations at 40 CFR part 720. Once EPA receives a SNUN, EPA must either determine that the significant new use is not likely to present an unreasonable risk of injury or take such regulatory action as is associated with an alternative determination before the manufacturing (including importing) or processing for the significant new use can commence. If EPA determines that the significant new use is not likely to present an unreasonable risk, EPA is required under TSCA section 5(g) to make public, and submit for publication in the **Federal Register**, a statement of EPA's finding.

#### VI. Applicability of Rule to Uses Occurring Before Effective Date of the Final Rule

EPA designates June 1, 2018 (the date of web posting of the proposed rule) as the cutoff date for determining whether the new use is ongoing. The objective of EPA's approach is to ensure that a person cannot defeat a SNUR by initiating a significant new use before the effective date of the final rule. In developing this rule, EPA has recognized that, given EPA's general practice of posting proposed and final SNURs on its website a week or more in advance of **Federal Register** publication, this objective could be

thwarted even before that publication.

Persons who began commercial manufacturing (including importing) or processing of the chemical substance (to include importing or processing articles and components thereof containing the chemical substance) for a significant new use as of June 1, 2018 would have to cease any such activity upon the effective date of the final rule. To resume their activities, these persons would have to first comply with all applicable SNUR notification requirements and wait until all TSCA prerequisites for the commencement of manufacturing (including importing) or processing have been satisfied (see **Federal Register** documents of April 24, 1990 (55 FR 17376) (FRL-3658-5) and November 28, 2016 (81 FR 85472) (FRL-9945-53) for additional information).

VII. Development and Submission of Information

# Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Approach Methodologies or NAMs), if available, to generate any recommended test data. EPA encourages dialogue with Agency representatives to help determine how best the submitter can meet both the data needs and the objective of TSCA section 4(h).

In the absence of a TSCA section 4 test rule covering the chemical substance, persons are required to submit only information in their possession or control and to

describe any other information known to or reasonably ascertainable by them (15 U.S.C. 2604(d); 40 CFR 721.25, and 40 CFR 720.50). However, as a general matter, EPA recommends that SNUN submitters include information that would permit a reasoned evaluation of risks posed by the chemical substance during its manufacturing (including importing), processing, use, distribution in commerce, or disposal. EPA encourages persons to consult with the Agency before submitting a SNUN. As part of this optional pre-notice consultation, EPA would discuss specific information it believes may be useful in evaluating a significant new use.

Submitting a SNUN that does not itself include information sufficient to permit a reasoned evaluation may increase the likelihood that EPA will either respond with a determination that the information available to the Agency is insufficient to permit a reasoned evaluation of the health and environmental effects of the significant new use or, alternatively, that in the absence of sufficient information, the manufacturing (including importing), processing, distribution in commerce, use, or disposal of the chemical substance may present an unreasonable risk of injury.

SNUN submitters should be aware that EPA will be better able to evaluate SNUNs and define the terms of any potentially necessary controls if the submitter provides detailed information on human exposure and environmental releases that may result from the significant new uses of the chemical substance.

## VIII. SNUN Submissions

EPA recommends that submitters consult with the Agency prior to submitting a SNUN to discuss what information may be useful in evaluating a significant new use. Discussions with the Agency prior to submission can afford ample time to conduct any

tests that might be helpful in evaluating risks posed by the substance. According to 40 CFR 721.1(c), persons submitting a SNUN must comply with the same notice requirements and EPA regulatory procedures as persons submitting a PMN, including submission of test data on health and environmental effects as described in 40 CFR 720.50. SNUNs must be submitted on EPA Form No. 7710-25, generated using e-PMN software, and submitted to the Agency in accordance with the procedures set forth in 40 CFR 721.25 and 40 CFR 720.40. E-PMN software is available electronically at <a href="http://www.epa.gov/opptintr/newchems">http://www.epa.gov/opptintr/newchems</a>.

The Agency received several public comments on the proposed asbestos SNUR requesting more explanation regarding the review process of a significant new use notice (EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018-0159-0437; EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018-0159-3224) and the opportunity for public comment on submitted SNUN applications, if any, as well as the Agency's significant new use determinations, if any, for asbestos (EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018-0159-4021; EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018-0159-1270; EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018-0159-5889). The SNUN submission and review process is explained in detail in the response to comments document (situation) and below.

Anyone who plans to manufacture, import, or process asbestos (including as part of an article) for a significant new use identified in the rule is required by Section 5 of TSCA to provide EPA with notice at least 90 days before initiating the activity. A SNUN submission follows the same process as a premanufacture notice (PMN) for new chemicals. Upon receipt of a significant new use notice, EPA is required by Section 5(d)(2) to publish notification of the intended significant new use in the Federal Register. For transparency purposes, in addition, EPA intends to take public comment on any

# Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

injury to health or the environment.

In general, TSCA section 5 notices require that all reasonably ascertainable information on chemical identity, production volume, byproducts, use, environmental release, disposal practices, and human exposure be included in the notice. In addition, EPA requires that the following information be submitted with the notice: any health and environmental information in the possession or control of the submitter, parent company or affiliates, and a description of any other applicable information known to or reasonably ascertainable by the submitter (see 40 CFR 720.45 and 40 CFR 720.50 for specific requirements). EPA risk assessors consider all of this information during the EPA significant new use review process. There are a range of actions the Agency can take to ensure the use of the chemical does not present an unreasonable risk to human health or the environment.

SNUNs are reported using the standard electronic PMN form, which allows manufacturers of TSCA chemical substances to use the Internet through EPA's Central Data Exchange (CDX), to submit TSCA section 5 notices to EPA (instructions available at https://www.epa.gov/reviewing-new-chemicals-under-toxic-substances-control-act-tsca/how-submit-e-pmn). SNUNS are subject to a 90-day review process similar to that for a PMN. When submitting a SNUN, the submitter should include a cover letter that provides the Code of Federal Regulations citation of the SNUR and identifies the specific significant new use(s) for which the SNUN is being submitted. The fee for each SNUN is

\$16,000, except for small businesses the fee is \$2,800 (see 40 CFR 700.45).

#### IX. Economic Analysis

#### A. SNUNs

EPA has evaluated the potential costs of establishing SNUR reporting requirements for potential manufacturers (including importers) and processors of the chemical substance included in this rule (Ref. 8). In the event that a SNUN is submitted, average costs are estimated at approximately \$23,000 per SNUN submission for large business submitters and about \$10,000 for small business submitters. These estimates include the cost to prepare and submit the SNUN, and the payment of a user fee.

Businesses that submit a SNUN would be subject to either a \$16,000 user fee required by 40 CFR 700.45(c)(2)(ii), or, if they are a small business, a reduced user fee of \$2,800 (40 CFR 700.45()(2)(ii)). Businesses that submit a SNUN are also estimated to incur average costs of \$65 for rule familiarization. First time submitters will incur an average cost of \$123 for Central Data Exchange (CDX) registration and associated activities. Companies manufacturing, importing, or processing asbestos or articles containing asbestos will incur an average cost of \$79 for notifying their customers of SNUR regulatory activities. EPA's complete economic analysis is available in the public docket for this rule (Ref. 8). *B. Export Notification* 

Under Section 12(b) of TSCA and the implementing regulations at 40 CFR part 707, subpart D, exporters must notify EPA if they export or intend to export a chemical substance or mixture for which, among other things, a rule has been proposed or promulgated under TSCA section 5. As explained in Unit I and Unit III.B., export notifications are required for asbestos, but not for articles containing asbestos. Asbestos-

containing articles are not subject to the export notification requirements; therefore, EPA assumes no additional costs under TSCA section 12(b) for this rule.

C. Import or Processing Chemical Substances as Part of an Article

In making inapplicable the exemption relating to persons that import or process certain chemical substances as part of an article, this action may affect firms that plan to import or process types of articles that may contain the asbestos. Some firms have an understanding of the contents of the articles they import or process. However, EPA acknowledges that importers and processors of articles may have varying levels of knowledge about the chemical content of the articles that they import or process. These parties may need to become familiar with the requirements of the rule. And, while not required by the SNUR, these parties may take additional steps to determine whether the subject chemical substance is part of the articles they are considering for importing or processing. This determination may involve activities such as gathering information from suppliers along the supply chain and/or testing samples of the article itself. Costs vary across the activities chosen and the extent of familiarity a firm has regarding the articles it imports or processes. Cost ranges are presented in the Understanding the Costs Associated with Eliminating Exemptions for Articles in SNURs (Ref. 19). Based on available information, EPA believes that article importers or processors that choose to investigate their products would incur costs at the lower end of the ranges presented in the Economic Analysis. For those companies choosing to undertake actions to assess the composition of the articles they import or process, EPA expects that importers or processors would take actions that are commensurate with the company's perceived likelihood that a chemical substance might be a part of an article for the significant new

uses subject to this rulemaking (identified in Table 2) and the resources it has available. Example activities and their costs are provided in the accompanying Economic Analysis of this rule (Ref. 8).

#### X. Alternatives

Before proposing this SNUR, EPA considered the following alternative regulatory action: Promulgate a TSCA section 8(a) Reporting Rule.

Under a TSCA section 8(a) rule, EPA could, among other things, generally require persons to report information to the Agency when they intend to manufacture (including import) or process a listed chemical for a specific use or any use. However, for asbestos, the use of TSCA section 8(a) rather than SNUR authority would have several limitations. First, if EPA were to require reporting under TSCA section 8(a) instead of TSCA section 5(a), that action would not ensure that EPA receives timely advance notice of future manufacturing (including importing) or processing of asbestos (including as part of an article and components thereof) for new uses that may produce changes in human and environmental exposures. Nor would action under 8(a) ensure that an appropriate determination (relevant to the risks of such manufacturing (including importing) or processing) has been issued prior to the commencement of such manufacturing (including importing) or processing. Furthermore, a TSCA section 8(a) rule would not ensure that manufacturing (including importing) or processing for the significant new use cannot proceed until EPA has responded to the circumstances by taking the required actions under Sections 5(e) or 5(f) of TSCA in the event that EPA determines any of the following: (1) that the significant new use presents an unreasonable risk under the conditions of use (without consideration of costs or other non-risk factors, and including

an unreasonable risk to a potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulation identified as relevant by EPA); (2) that the information available to EPA is insufficient to permit a reasoned evaluation of the health and environmental effects of the significant new use; (3) that in the absence of sufficient information, the manufacture (including import), processing, distribution in commerce, use, or disposal of the substance, or any combination of such activities, may present an unreasonable risk (without consideration of costs or other non-risk factors, and including an unreasonable risk to a potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulation identified as relevant by EPA); or (4) that there is substantial production and sufficient potential for environmental release or human exposure (as defined in TSCA section 5(a)(3)(B)(ii)(II)).

In addition, EPA may not receive important information from small businesses,

# Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

information relevant to whether a particular use would be a significant new use, based on relevant factors including those listed under TSCA section 5(a)(2). As noted in Unit III., EPA's decision to promulgate a SNUR for a particular chemical use need not be based on an extensive evaluation of the hazard, exposure, or potential risk associated with that use.

The clarity and completeness of the data, assumptions, methods, quality assurance, and analyses employed in EPA's decision are documented, as applicable and to the extent necessary for purposes of this significant new use rule, in Unit II. and in the references cited throughout the preamble of this rule. EPA recognizes, based on the available information, that there is variability and uncertainty in whether any particular significant new use would actually present an unreasonable risk. For precisely this reason, it is appropriate to secure a future notice and review process for these uses, at such time as they are known more definitively. The extent to which the various information, procedures, measures, methods, protocols, methodologies or models used in EPA's decision have been subject to independent verification or peer review is adequate to justify their use, collectively, in the record for a significant new use rule.

# XII. Response to Public Comment

The Agency received a total of 17,912 comments related to the proposed rule under docket identification (ID) number EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018-0159. The public comment period began on June 11, 2018 and ended August 10, 2018. Of the 17,912 public comments received, 11,732 are part of a mass mail campaign, 240 are from a second mass mail campaign, 67 are not posted in the public docket due to inappropriate language, and 5,873 individual comments are identified by ID number, posted in the docket, and available to view on regulations.gov at

Over 90% (5,386) of the individual comments received on the proposed Asbestos SNUR are anonymous. The majority of comments are generally considered not germane to the proposed rule considering the purpose and effect of the action, but where

https://www.regulations.gov/docket?D=EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018-0159.

appropriate they are associated with one of the comment topics and addressed in EPA's response to comment document (docket citation). Upon careful review, EPA has identified seven general themes throughout the public comments, which are:

- 1. The purpose of the proposed Asbestos SNUR
- 2. Extend the comment period
- 3. Ban asbestos
- 4. Explain EPA's review process of Significant New Use Notices
- 5. Provide clarification: Recycling and disposal
- 6. Broaden the scope of the SNUR
- 7. Economic Analysis

EPA received thousands of comments pertaining to the purpose of the proposed Asbestos SNUR as well as the request that EPA ban the use of asbestos in the United States. Due to the overwhelming number of comments on these two topics, the Agency does not cite each relevant comment by ID number in the response to comments document. As for the other public comment topics of the proposed rule listed above, the Agency specifically cites in the response to comments document 17 substantive public comments, which may address multiple aspects of the proposed rule.

# XIII. References

The following is a listing of the documents that are specifically referenced in this document. The docket, EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018-0159, includes these documents and other information considered by EPA, including documents that are referenced within the documents that are included in the docket, even if the referenced document is not physically located in the docket. For assistance in locating these other documents, please

# consult the technical person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

1. National Toxicology Program. (NTP, 2016). Report on Carcinogens,

Fourteenth Edition.; Research Triangle Park, NC: U.S. Department of Health and Human

Services, Public Health Service. Retrieved from [ HYPERLINK

"https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/ntp/roc/content/profiles/asbestos.pdf"].

 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. (EPA, 1988). IRIS summary for asbestos (CASRN 1332-21-4). Washington, DC: Integrated Risk Information System.
 Retrieved from

http://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/iris/iris documents/documents/subst/0371 summary.pdf.

- 3. Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry. (ATSDR, 2001).

  Toxicological profile for asbestos (update). Retrieved from [ HYPERLINK

  "https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/ToxProfiles/tp.asp?id=30&tid=4" ].
- 4. International Agency for Research on Cancer. (IARC, 2012). A review of human carcinogens. Part C: Arsenic, metals, fibres, and dusts [IARC Monograph]. Lyon, France: World Health Organization. Retrieved from [HYPERLINK "http://monographs.iarc.fr/ENG/Monographs/vol100C/mono100C.pdf"].
- 5. International Agency for Research on Cancer. (IARC, 1977). IARC monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risk of chemicals to man: Asbestos. Lyon, France: World Health Organization. Retrieved from [HYPERLINK "http://monographs.iarc.fr/ENG/Monographs/vol1-42/mono14.pdf"].
- International Agency for Research on Cancer. (IARC, 1987). Asbestos and certain asbestos compounds [IARC Monograph]. In IARC Monographs on the Evaluation

of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans (pp. 106-116). Lyon, France. Retrieved from [ HYPERLINK "http://monographs.iarc.fr/ENG/Monographs/suppl7/index.php"].

 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. (EPA, 2017). Scope of the Risk Evaluation for Asbestos. Retrieved from [HYPERLINK

"https://www.regulations.gov/document?D=EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-0736-0086"].

- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. (EPA, 2019). Economic Analysis for the Significant New Use Rule for Asbestos.
- 9. U.S. Geological Survey. (USGS, 2018). Mineral Commodity Summaries 2019.

Washington, DC: U.S. Department of the Interior. Retrieved from

https://minerals.usgs.gov/minerals/pubs/commodity/asbestos/mcs-2019-asbes.pdf.

10. U.S. Geological Survey. (USGS, 2017). Mineral Commodity Summaries:

Asbestos. Retrieved from [ HYPERLINK

"https://minerals.usgs.gov/minerals/pubs/commodity/asbestos/mcs-2017-asbes.pdf"].

- 11. U.S. Customs and Border Protection. (2017). *Automated Commercial Environment System (ACE)*.
- 12. National Research Council. (NRC, 2006). Asbestos: Selected cancers.
  Institute of Medicine (US) Committee on Asbestos: Selected Health Effects. Washington,
  DC: The National Academies Press.
  - 13. National Research Council. (NRC, 1983). Drinking Water and Health.

Washington, DC: Safe Drinking Water Committee, Board on Toxicology and

Environmental Health Hazards. Retrieved from [ HYPERLINK

"http://dx.doi.org/10.17226/326"].

14. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. (EPA, 1980). Ambient water quality

criteria for asbestos [EPA Report]. (EPA/440/5-80/022). Washington, DC.

15. Anderson, P. H. and Farino, W. J. (1982). Analysis of Fiber Release from Certain Asbestos Products. Draft Final Report. Prepared by GCA Corporation for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Retrieved from [HYPERLINK "https://nepis.epa.gov/Exe/ZyPDF.cgi/9101PBZ6.PDF?Dockey=9101PBZ6.PDF"].

16. 40 CFR Part 61, Subpart M, Asbestos National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP).

17. Virta, R. (2011). Asbestos. Kirk-Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology. Retrieved from [ HYPERLINK

"http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/0471238961.0119020510151209.a01.pub3/pdf"].

18. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. (EPA, 1984). Significant New Uses of Chemical Substances; Certain Chemicals. 49 FR 35014, September 5, 1984 (FRL-2541-8).

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. (EPA, 2013). Understanding the
 Costs Associated with Eliminating Exemptions for Articles in SNURs. May 1, 2013.

20. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. (EPA, 1989). Regulatory Impact Analysis of Controls on Asbestos and Asbestos Products: Final Report: Volume III. (5601989ICF001). Washington, DC: Office of Toxic Substances, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

### XIV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

 $Additional\ information\ about\ these\ statutes\ and\ Executive\ Orders\ can\ be\ found\ at$   $[\ HYPERLINK\ "http://www2.epa.gov/laws-regulations/laws-and-executive-orders"\ ].$ 

A. Executive Order 12866: Regulatory Planning and Review and Executive Order 13563:

Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review

This action is not a significant regulatory action and was therefore not submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011).

B. Executive Order 13771: Reducing Regulations and Controlling Regulatory Costs

This action is not expected to be a regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13771 (82 FR 9339, February 3, 2017), because this action is not a significant regulatory action under Executive Order 12866.

C. Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA)

This action does not impose any new information collection burden under the PRA, 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq*. Burden is defined in 5 CFR 1320.3(b). The information collection activities associated with existing chemical SNURs are already approved under OMB control number 2070-0038 (EPA ICR No. 1188); and the information collection activities associated with export notifications are already approved under OMB control number 2070-0030 (EPA ICR No. 0795). If an entity were to submit a SNUN to the Agency, the burden is estimated to be approximately 100 hours per response (slightly less for submitters who have already registered to use the electronic submission system).

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information that requires OMB approval under the PRA, unless it has been approved by OMB and displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations in Title 40 of the CFR, after appearing in the **Federal Register**, are listed in 40 CFR, part 9, and included on the related collection instrument, or form, as applicable.

#### D. Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA)

Pursuant to section 605(b) of the RFA, 5 U.S.C. 601 et seq., I certify that promulgation of this SNUR would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The rationale supporting this conclusion is as follows.

A SNUR applies to any person (including small or large entities) who intends to engage in any activity described in the rule as a "significant new use." By definition of the word "new" and based on all information currently available to EPA, it appears that no small or large entities presently engage in such activities. Since this SNUR will require a person who intends to engage in such activity in the future to first notify EPA by submitting a SNUN, no economic impact will occur unless someone files a SNUN to pursue a significant new use in the future or forgoes profits by avoiding or delaying the significant new use. Although some small entities may decide to conduct such activities in the future, EPA cannot presently determine how many, if any, there may be. However, EPA's experience to date is that, in response to the promulgation of SNURs covering over 1,000 chemical substances, the Agency receives only a handful of notices per year. During the six-year period from 2005-2010, only three submitters self-identified as small in their SNUN submissions (Ref. 8). EPA believes the cost of submitting a SNUN is relatively small compared to the cost of developing and marketing a chemical new to a firm or marketing a new use of the chemical and that the requirement to submit a SNUN generally does not have a significant economic impact.

Therefore, EPA believes that the potential economic impact of complying with this SNUR is not expected to be significant or adversely impact a substantial number of

small entities. In a SNUR that published as a final rule on August 8, 1997 (62 FR 42690) (FRL-5735-4), the Agency presented its general determination that proposed and final SNURs are not expected to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

# E. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (UMRA)

Based on EPA's experience with proposing and finalizing SNURs, State, local, and Tribal governments have not been impacted by these rulemakings, and EPA does not have any reason to believe that any State, local, or Tribal government would be impacted by this rulemaking. As such, the requirements of sections 202, 203, 204, or 205 of UMRA, 2 U.S.C. 1531-1538, do not apply to this action.

#### F. Executive Order 13132: Federalism

This action will not have federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999), because it will not have substantial direct effect on States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

G. Executive Order 13175: Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments

This action does not have tribal implications as specified in Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), because it will not have any effect on tribal governments, on the relationship between the Federal Government and the Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

H. Executive Order 13045: Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and

Safety Risks

This action is not subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because this action does not address environmental health or safety risks, and EPA interprets Executive Order 13045 as applying only to those regulatory actions that concern environmental health or safety risks that EPA has reason to believe may disproportionately affect children, per the definition of "covered regulatory action" in section 2-202 of the Executive Order.

I. Executive Order 13211: Actions Concerning Regulations that Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use

This action is not a "significant energy action" as defined in Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001), because it is not likely to have any effect on energy supply, distribution, or use.

J. National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA)

This rulemaking does not involve any technical standards and is therefore not subject to considerations under section 12(d) of NTTAA, 15 U.S.C. 272 note.

K. Executive Order 12898: Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority
Populations and Low-Income Populations

This action will not have disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects on minority or low-income populations as specified in Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994). This action does not affect the level of protection provided to human health or the environment.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 721

Environmental protection, Chemicals, Hazardous substances, Reporting a	ınd
recordkeeping requirements, Asbestos.	

Jeffery T. Morris,

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

 ${\it Director, Of fice of Pollution Prevention and Toxics.}$ 

Therefore, it is proposed that 40 CFR chapter I be amended as follows:

# PART 721-[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 721 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 15 U.S.C. 2604, 2607, and 2625(c).

2. Add the following section:

# § 721.11095 Asbestos.

- (a) Chemical substance and significant new use subject to reporting. (1) The chemical substance identified as asbestos (as defined by 15 U.S.C. 2642(3) as the asbestiform varieties of chrysotile (serpentine), crocidolite (riebeckite), amosite (cummingtonite-grunerite), anthophyllite, tremolite or actinolite) is subject to reporting under this section for the significant new use described in paragraph (a)(2) of this section except as provided by paragraph (a)(3).
- (2) Except as provided by paragraph (a)(3), the significant new use of the chemical substance identified in paragraph (a)(1) of this section is: manufacturing (including importing) or processing for any of the following uses:
  - i. Adhesives, sealants, roof and non-roof coatings;
  - ii. Arc chutes;
  - iii. Beater-add gaskets;
  - iv. Cement products;
  - v. Extruded sealant tape and other tape;
  - vi. Filler for acetylene cylinders;
  - vii. Friction materials;
  - viii. High grade electrical paper;

	ix.	Millboard;		
	X.	Missile liner;		
	xi.	Packings;		
	xii.	Pipeline wrap;		
	xiii.	Reinforced plastics;		
	xiv.	Roofing felt;		
	XV.	Separators in fuel cells and batteries;		
	xvi.	Vinyl-asbestos floor tile;		
	xvii.	Woven products;		
	xviii.	Other building products; or		
	xix.	Any other use of asbestos.		
	(3) Exceptions.			
	(i) The	significant new use identified in (a)(2) of this section does not include		
manufacturing (including importing) or processing for the following uses of the				
asbestiform variety of chrysotile (serpentine) asbestos:				
	(A) Diaphragms for use in chorine and sodium hydroxide production;			
	(B) Sheet gaskets for use in chemical manufacturing;			
	(C) Brake blocks in oil drilling equipment;			
	(D) Aftermarket automotive brakes/linings;			
	(E) Other vehicle friction products; or			

(F) Other gaskets.

[PAGE ]

- (ii) The significant new use does not include the manufacture (including importation) or processing of the asbestos-containing products identified in § 763.165, which continue to be prohibited pursuant to 40 C.F.R. part 763, subpart I.
- (b) Specific requirements. (1) Section 721.45(f) does not apply to this section. A person who intends to manufacture (including import) or process the substance identified in paragraph (a)(1) for the significant new use identified in paragraph (a)(2) of this section as part of an article is subject to the notification provisions of § 721.25.
- (2) Any person who submits a significant new use notice for the substance identified in paragraph (a)(1) of this section for the significant new use identified in paragraph (a)(2) of this section must include with the notice adequate documentation or supporting information in the submitter's possession or control that the intended use is not subject to the prohibitions identified in 40 C.F.R. part 763, subpart I.